1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.1 INTRODUCTION

The environmental impact report (EIR) process, as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), requires the preparation of an objective, full-disclosure document in order to (1) inform agency decision-makers and the general public of the direct and indirect potentially significant environmental effects of a proposed action; (2) identify feasible or potentially feasible mitigation measures to reduce or eliminate potential significant adverse impacts; and (3) identify and evaluate reasonable alternatives to the proposed project. In accordance with Section 15168 of the State CEQA Guidelines (Title 14 of the *California Code of Regulations [CCR]*), this is a Program EIR that addresses the potential environmental impacts associated with the proposed City of Yorba Linda General Plan Update.

1.2 PROJECT LOCATION

The City of Yorba Linda (City) is located in northeast portion of Orange County, California. The City is generally bordered by the cities of Brea, Placentia and Anaheim, as well as parts of unincorporated Orange County. The General Plan Update Planning Area (Planning Area) includes all land within the jurisdictional limits of the City, and the area within the City's Sphere of Influence in unincorporated Orange County (see Figure 3-2, Planning Area in Section 3.0, Project Description).

1.3 PROJECT SUMMARY

The Yorba Linda General Plan (General Plan Update) is an update to the 1993 General Plan. The General Plan Update continues the major themes of the 1993 General Plan, including maintaining the semi-rural, suburban feel of the primarily residential community. The purpose of the Yorba Linda General Plan Update is to provide the City Council, Planning Commission, City staff, and the community with a comprehensive and internally consistent plan to guide the City's decision-making and development processes for the General Plan's 20-year planning period.

1.3.1 Components of the Yorba Linda General Plan Update

The amendments to the 1993 General Plan are proposed to ensure that Yorba Linda's General Plan remains a viable, effective policy guidance tool for the next 20 years. The General Plan Update would not result in any significant departure from the direction and policies set forth in the 1993 General Plan, nor would it result in significant changes in the amount, type, or rate of development in the City. The intent of the General Plan Update is to:

- Update existing conditions assumptions, with year 2016 serving as the baseline year.
- Communicate "Our Vision for Yorba Linda" through a Vision Statement that sets the tone for the General Plan's goals, policies, and actions, and establishes a foundation based on community values and qualities unique to Yorba Linda.
- Update General Plan development projections to buildout year 2035. Projections for population, residential, and non-residential development have been updated for the projected build out.
- Communicate "Focus Areas" through a citywide Focus Area Map to more specifically identify those parts of the Planning Area where potential change is anticipated or planned.

- Add to, delete, or modify the 1993 General Plan goals, policies, and programs.
- Amend the remaining General Plan Elements to reflect the components described above.

1.3.2 Elements of the Yorba Linda General Plan Update

The Yorba Linda General Plan Update includes the following General Plan Elements:

- Land Use Element
- Circulation Element
- Economic Development Element
- Housing Element
- Historical Resources Element
- Open Space and Recreation Resources Element
- Conservation Element
- Public Health and Safety Element
- Public Services and Utilities Element
- Noise Element
- Growth Management Element

1.3.3 Focus Areas

Seven geographic Focus Areas have been identified in the Planning Area for customized policy treatment. For Focus Areas located in the City's Sphere of Influence, the policies in the General Plan Update would be applicable if the area was annexed by the City. The purpose of this special treatment is to recognize the need for targeted policy establishment where an area:

- Is experiencing pressures for change and requires immediate guidance;
- Represents a special community resource or opportunity where preservation and/or change need encouragement;
- Is characterized by unusual conditions or unique combinations of circumstances not found throughout the community;
- Has the potential or the need for customized regulatory techniques (specific plan, master plan, performance zoning, planned development zoning, etc.) and therefore requires other than conventional policy treatment;
- Consists of an opportunity which must receive high priority in order to avoid its loss;
- Which is appropriately considered to have more than one development option, requiring further, more detailed examination in order to identify the optimum maximum direction; or
- Has any combination of these factors.

1.3.4 Land Use Plan

The General Plan Land Use Element presents the City's official policies relative to land use. The Land Use Element divides the Planning Area into land use designations that define areas by type of use, existing neighborhood character, and intent of future growth. The General Plan Update is forecasted to result in 25,871 dwelling units at buildout, with a resultant population projection of 78,389 persons. Additionally, approximately 5.85 million square feet of non-residential land uses are proposed.

When compared to 2016 existing conditions, implementation of the General Plan Update would result in the following conditions at buildout:

- A housing stock of 25,871 dwelling units, or 3,913 dwelling units over 21,958 existing dwelling units;
- A population of 78,389 persons, or 10,752 persons over the existing population of 67,637 persons; and
- A non-residential floor area of approximately 5,851,095 sf, or approximately 2,005,770 sf over the existing non-residential floor area of approximately 3,845,325 sf.

1.4 PROJECT OBJECTIVES

Objectives for the General Plan Update and General Plan Update Program Environmental Impact Report (Program EIR) are:

- Provide for continued conformance with State law;
- Ensure internal consistency amongst General Plan Elements;
- Respond to issues raised by City residents and stakeholders during the public participation process;
- Address gaps in the 1993 General Plan identified by the community;
- Align the General Plan's policies to address major priorities and ensure that the City is in a position to respond to challenges and strategic opportunities;
- Comply with Section 21000 et seq. of CEQA, which requires that environmental impacts be addressed and mitigated.

1.5 PROJECT IMPACT

The City of Yorba Linda determined that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) should be prepared pursuant to CEQA and the State CEQA Guidelines. The environmental issues identified by the City for assessment in the EIR are:

- Aesthetics
- Agriculture
- Air Quality
- Biological Resources
- Cultural Resources
- Geology and Soils
- Greenhouse Gases
- Hazards and Hazardous Materials

- Hydrology and Water Quality
- Land Use and Planning
- Mineral Resources
- Noise
- Population and Housing
- Public Services
- Recreation
- Transportation and Traffic
- Utilities and Service System

Section 5.0, Environmental Analysis, of this Program EIR provides a description of potential environmental impacts associated with the proposed General Plan Update. It also recommends mitigation measures designed to reduce impacts to less than significant levels.

1.6 SUMMARY OF PROJECT ALTERNATIVES

Section 6.0, Alternatives, analyzes two alternatives to the General Plan Update, and evaluates the comparative merits of each alternative. Potential environmental impacts associated with the alternatives are compared to the impacts of the General Plan Update. The alternatives are the No Project/Existing General Plan Alternative and the General Plan Update with Reduced Development Alternative.

No Project/Existing General Plan Alternative. As required by CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.6(e), the No Project/Existing General Plan Alternative describes buildout of the City in accordance with existing zoning and General Plan land use designations and policies of the current General Plan, which was adopted in 1993.

General Plan Update with Reduced Development Alternative. The General Plan Update with Reduced Development Alternative assumes that the General Plan Update would be adopted, as proposed, with development intensities and densities in the Planning Area, with the exception of the Area Plans, reduced by half. The General Plan with Reduced Development Alternative would allow for the follow when compared with the General Plan Update:

- 1,620 fewer dwelling units; and
- 940,068 fewer square feet of non-residential uses.

1.7 SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Table 1-1
Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures

Impacts	Goals and Policies	Level of Significance	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation
Aesthetics				
Future development associated with the implementation of the proposed General Plan Update would not have significant effects on a scenic vista. The City is approaching buildout, open space would continue to be protected, and there are no proposed changes to land use definitions.	Goal CN-1; Policies CN-1.1, CN-1.2, Goal CN-3; Policies CN-3.1, CN-3.2, Goal LU-4, Policies LU-4.1, LU-4.2, Goal LU-8, Policies LU-8.1, LU-8.2, Goal LU-9, Policies LU-9.1, LU-9.2, LU-9.3	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
There are no proposed changes in the City's General Plan Update that would affect rock outcroppings in a significant manner, nor are there are any State scenic highways located within the Planning Area	Goal CN-1, Policies CN-1.1, CN-1.2, Goal CN-3, Policies CN-3.1, CN-3.2, Goal LU-4, Policies LU-4.1, LU-4.2, Goal LU-8, Policies LU-8.1, LU-8.2, Goal LU-9, Policies LU-9.1, LU-9.2, LU-9.3	No impact	No mitigation required	No impact
Future development would not alter the visual appearance of the Planning Area in a significant way, nor would such development degrade the existing character or quality of the Planning Area or the surrounding area.	Goal CN-1, Policies CN-1.1, CN-1.2, Goal CN-3, Policies CN-3.1, CN-3.2, Goal LU-4, Policies LU-4.1, LU-4.2, Goal LU-8, Policies LU-8.1, LU-8.2, Goal LU-9, Policies LU-9.1, LU-9.2, LU-9.3	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
Future development has the potential to generate additional light and glare but the change	Goal CN-6, Policies CN-6.1, CN-6.2, CN-6.3	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant

Table 1-1 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures				
Impacts	**Goals and Policies**	**Level of Significance**	**Mitigation Measures**	**Level of Significance After Mitigation**
would not result in a substantial change.				
Agricultural Resources				
The General Plan Update would not impact Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance.	Goal LU-3, Policy LU-3.1	No impact	No mitigation required	No impact
The proposed General Plan Update would not conflict with any existing zoning specifically for agricultural use or interfere with any Williamson Act contracts.	Goal LU-3, Policy LU-3.1	No impact	No mitigation required	No impact
The proposed General Plan Update does not include any provisions or changes from the previously adopted General Plan that would cause or allow the conversion of agricultural land to non-agricultural use.	Goal LU-3, Policy LU-3.1	No impact	No mitigation required	No Impact
Air Quality				
Construction activities associated with future development could result in a considerable increase of criteria air pollutants and precursor pollutants for which the region is designated non-attainment.	Goal LU-3, Policy LU-3.1, Goal CN-5, Policy CN-5.6, Goal CR-3, Policies CR-3.4, CR-3.6, CR-3.7, CR-3.8	Potentially significant impact	**AQ-1**: The City shall include a policy requiring future development projects that are subject to CEQA review and deemed to have a potentially significant construction air quality impact to provide air quality mitigation to address short-term construction emissions, as recommended by the SCAQMD. (Please see Section 5.3 for the full text of this Mitigation Measure.)	Significant unavoidable impact
Future development associated with implementation of the General Plan Update could	Goal LU-3, Policy LU-3.1, Goal CN-5, Policy CN-5.6,	Potentially significant impact	**AQ-2:** Consider and mitigate the impacts on regional air quality when reviewing proposals for new development. Air quality	Significant unavoidable impact

Table 1-1 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures				
Impacts	**Goals and Policies**	**Level of Significance**	**Mitigation Measures**	**Level of Significance After Mitigation**
result in a considerable increase of criteria air pollutants and precursor pollutants for which the region is designated non-attainment.	Goal CR-3, Policies CR-3.4, CR-3.6, CR-3.7, CR-3.8		impacts shall be evaluated in accordance with SCAQMD-recommended methodologies and procedures. (Please see Section 5.3 for the full text of this Mitigation Measure.)	
The General Plan Update is not anticipated to result in new major sources of toxic air contaminants (TACs). However, future development could result in new sensitive land uses located in close proximity to existing stationary or transportation sources of TACs.	Goal LU-3, Policies LU-3.1, LU-3.2, LU-3.3	Potentially significant impact	**AQ-3:** Consider and mitigate the impacts on new sensitive land uses that are proposed to be constructed near major stationary or transportation sources of emissions, in accordance with SCAQMD-recommended methodologies and procedures. Sensitive land uses include, but are not limited to, residential dwellings, hospitals, daycare facilities, convalescent care facilities, and schools.	Less than significant
Implementation of the proposed General Plan Update would not be anticipated to result in mobile-source CO concentrations that would exceed applicable ambient air quality standards.	Goal CR-1, Policy CR-1.4, Goal CR-3, Policies CR-3.1, CR-3.3, CR-3.4, CR-3.6, CR-3.7, CR-3.8, Goal CR-5, Policies CR-5.1, CR-5.2, CR-5.3, Goal CR-6, Policies CR-6.1, CR-6.2, CR-6.3, CR-6.4, Goal CR-10, Policies CR-10.1, CR-10.2, CR-10.3, CR-10.4, CR-10.5, CR-10.6, CR-10.7, CR-10.8, CR-10.9, CR-10.10, CR-10.11	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
Implementation of the General Plan Update would not result in a significant increase in odors that would affect a substantial number of individuals.	Goal LU-3, Policy LU-3.1	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant

Table 1-1 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures				
Impacts	**Goals and Policies**	**Level of Significance**	**Mitigation Measures**	**Level of Significance After Mitigation**
Future development associated with implementation of the General Plan Update could result in a considerable increase of criteria air pollutants and precursor pollutants for which the region is designated non-attainment. Increased emissions may conflict with applicable air quality planning efforts.	Goal CR-1, Policy CR-1.4, Goal CR-3, Policies CR-3.1, CR-3.3, CR-3.4, CR-3.6, CR-3.7, CR-3.8, Goal CR-5, Policies CR-5.1, CR-5.2, CR-5.3, Goal CR-6, Policies CR-6.1, CR-6.2, CR-6.3, CR-6.4, Goal CR-10, Policies CR-10.1, CR-10.2, CR-10.3, CR-10.4, CR-10.5, CR-10.6, CR-10.7, CR-10.8, CR-10.9, CR-10.10, CR-10.11	Potentially significant impact	See **AQ-2**	Significant unavoidable impact
General Plan buildout would cumulatively contribute to significant air quality emissions in the air basin.	Goal CR-1, Policy CR-1.4, Goal CR-3, Policies CR-3.1, CR-3.3, CR-3.4, CR-3.6, CR-3.7, CR-3.8, Goal CR-5, Policies CR-5.1, CR-5.2, CR-5.3, Goal CR-6, Policies CR-6.1, CR-6.2, CR-6.3, CR-6.4, Goal CR-10, Policies CR-10.1, CR-10.2, CR-10.3, CR-10.4, CR-10.5, CR-10.6, CR-10.7, CR-10.8, CR-10.9, CR-10.10, CR-10.11	Potentially significant impact	See **AQ-1, AQ-2**	Significant unavoidable impact
Biological Resources				
The General Plan Update would require biological surveys to be conducted for future development projects, as applicable, to determine the potential for impacts to biological resources. Impacts would be required to be fully mitigated	Goal CN-2, Policies CN-2.1, CN-2.2, CN-2.3, CN-2.4, CN-2.5, CN-2.6, CN-2.7, CN-2.8	Potentially significant impact	**BIO-1:** Any development in the Cielo/Esperanza focus area must be preceded by site inspection by a qualified biologist to determine the presence of species that are candidates to become, or currently, protected or special status.	Less than significant

Table 1-1 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures				
Impacts	**Goals and Policies**	**Level of Significance**	**Mitigation Measures**	**Level of Significance After Mitigation**
Development in the Cielo/Esperanza Focus Area could affect riparian habitat and wetland habitat. Mitigation has been identified in the project EIR to mitigate impacts.	Goal CN-2, Policies CN-2.1, CN-2.2, CN-2.3, CN-2.4, CN-2.5, CN-2.6	Potentially significant impact	See **BIO-1**	Less than significant
The General Plan Update would not result in development that substantially interferes with the movement of wildlife.	Goal CN-2, Policies CN-2.1, CN-2.2, CN-2.3, CN-2.4, CN-2.5, CN-2.6, CN-2.7, CN-2.8	Less than significant	See **BIO-1**	Less than significant
The General Plan Update would not conflict with any ordinance such as a tree preservation ordinance.	Goal CN-2, Policies CN-2.1, CN-2.2, CN-2.3, CN-2.4, CN-2.5, CN-2.6, CN-2.7, CN-2.8	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
Although portions of the Project Area are located near the Orange County Central-Coastal Natural Communities Conservation Plan/Habitat Conservation Plan, no impacts on these areas as a result of General Plan Update implementation.	Goal CN-2, Policies CN-2.1, CN-2.2, CN-2.3, CN-2.4, CN-2.5, CN-2.6, CN-2.7, CN-2.8	Less than significant	See **BIO-1**	Less than significant
Cultural Resources				
Implementation of the General Plan Update could adversely impact significant historical resources.	Policies HR-1.1, HR-1.2, HR-1.3, HR-1.4, HR-2.1, HR-2.1, HR-2.3, HR-2.4, HR-3.1, HR-3.2, HR-3.3, HR-4.1, HR-4.2, HR-4.3, HR-4.4, HR-4.5, HR-5.1, HR-5.2, HR-5.3, HR-6.1, HR-6.2, HR-6.3	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant

Table 1-1 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures				
Impacts	**Goals and Policies**	**Level of Significance**	**Mitigation Measures**	**Level of Significance After Mitigation**
Implementation of the General Plan Update could adversely impact significant archaeological resources.	Policy HR-2.5	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
Implementation of the General Plan Update could disturb human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries.	N/A	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
Implementation of the General Plan Update could adversely impact significant paleontological resources, including unique geologic features.	Policy HR-2.5	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
Geology and Soils				
The General Plan Update would not expose people or structures to increased adverse effects as the result of any geologic or soils activity.	Goal PS-3, Policies PS-3.1, PS-3.2, PS-3.3, PS-3.4, PS-3.5, PS-3.6, PS-3.7, CN-3.3	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
Future development projects would be required to comply with requirements to preclude the loss of topsoil or other types of soil erosion.	Policy CN-3.3	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
The proposed General Plan Update would not allow construction on areas that are geologically unstable.	Goal PS-3, Policies PS-3.1, PS-3.2, PS-3.3, PS-3.4, PS-3.5, PS-3.6, PS-3.7, CN-3.3	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
Construction on expansive soils would first require remediation	Goal PS-3, Policies PS-3.1, PS-3.2, PS-3.3, PS-3.4,	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant

Table 1-1 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures				
Impacts	**Goals and Policies**	**Level of Significance**	**Mitigation Measures**	**Level of Significance After Mitigation**
consistent with building code requirements.	PS-3.5, PS-3.6, PS-3.7, CN-3.3			
Areas of future development under the proposed General Plan would be able to be connected to the City sewer system.	Goal PS-3, PS-3.1, PS-3.2, PS-3.3, PS-3.4, PS-3.5, PS-3.6, PS-3.7, CN-3.3	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
Greenhouse Gases				
GHG emissions generated by future development is expected to have a less than significant impact on the environment.	Goal LU-3, Policy LU-3.1, Goal CN-5, Policy CN-5.6, Goal CR-3, Policies CR-3.4, CR-3.6, CR-3.7, CR-3.8	Less than significant	AQ-1	Less than significant
Implementation of the proposed General Plan Update would not conflict with an applicable GHG-reduction plan, policy or regulation.	Goal LU-3, Policies LU-3.1, LU-3.2, LU-3.3, Goal LU-4, Policy LU-4.3, Goal LU-7, Policy LU-7.1, Goal LU-9, Policy LU-3.7, Goal LU-11, Policy LU-11.2, Goal CR-1, Policies CR-1.1, CR-1.2, CR-1.3, CR-1.4, CR-1.8, Goal CR-3, Policies CR-3.1, CR-3.3, CR-3.4, CR-3.6, CR-3.7, CR-3.8, Goal CR-5, Policies CR-5.1, CR-5.2, CR-5.3, Goal CR-6, Policies CR-6.1, CR-6.2, CR-6.3, CR-6.4, Goal CR-10, Policies CR-10.1, CR-10.2, CR-10.3, CR-10.4, CR-10.5, CR-10.6, CR-10.7, CR-10.8, CR-10.9, CR-10.10, CR-10.11, Goal GM-2, Policy GM-2.5	Less than significant	AQ-2	Less than significant

Table 1-1 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures				
Impacts	**Goals and Policies**	**Level of Significance**	**Mitigation Measures**	**Level of Significance After Mitigation**
Hazards and Hazardous Materials				
The proposed General Plan Update could result in further development in the Planning Area, which would involve the transport, use, and disposal of hazardous materials.	Goal CR-8, Policies CR-8.1, CR-8.2, LU-3.1, LU-3.2, LU-3.3, Goal PS-1, Policy PS-1.3, Goal PS-8, Policies PS-8.1, PS-8.2, PS-8.3	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
It is not anticipated that the implementation of the proposed General Plan Update would result in an increased likelihood of accidents that could result in the release of hazardous materials.	Goal CR-8, Policies CR-8.1, CR-8.2, LU-3.1, LU-3.2, LU-3.3, Goal PS-1, Policy PS-1.3, Goal PS-8, Policies PS-8.1, PS-8.2, PS-8.3	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
There would not be known hazardous materials or emission present within one-quarter mile of existing or proposed schools.	Goal CR-8, Policies CR-8.1, CR-8.2, LU-3.1, LU-3.2, LU-3.3, Goal PS-1, Policy PS-1.3, Goal PS-8, Policies PS-8.1, PS-8.2, PS-8.3	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
There are sites in the City that are now or have in the past been responsible for the emission of hazardous materials.	Goal CR-8, Policies CR-8.1, CR-8.2, LU-3.1, LU-3.2, LU-3.3, Goal PS-1, Policy PS-1.3, Goal PS-8, Policies PS-8.1, PS-8.2, PS-8.3	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
There are no public airports located within two miles of the Planning Area.	N/A	No impact	No mitigation required	No impact
There are no private airstrips within the vicinity of the Planning Area.	N/A	No impact	No mitigation required	No impact
The General Plan Update would not affect the City's existing	Goal CR-8, Policies CR-8.1, CR-8.2, LU-3.1, LU-3.2, LU-3.3, Goal PS-1, Policy	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant

Table 1-1 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures				
Impacts	**Goals and Policies**	**Level of Significance**	**Mitigation Measures**	**Level of Significance After Mitigation**
Emergency Operations Plan or its associated evacuation plans.	PS-1.3, Goal PS-8, Policies PS-8.1, PS-8.2, PS-8.3			
Hydrology and Water Quality				
Development that could occur under the General Plan Update could substantially increase the amount of impervious surfaces in the Planning Area and could potentially increase surface water flows into drainage systems within the Planning Area's watersheds.	Goal CN-4, Policies CN-4.1, CN-4.2, CN-4.3, CN-4.4, CN-4.5, CN-4.6, PSU-5.4, PSU-5.5, Goal PSU-6, Policies PSU-6.1, PSU-6.2, PSU-6.3, PSU-6.4, Goal GM-1, Policies GM-1.1, GM-1.2, GM-1.3, Goal PS-4, Policies PS-4.1, PS-4.2	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
Development associated with the General Plan Update could increase the amount of impervious surfaces in the City, but not a large enough scale such that groundwater recharge would be impacted.	Goal CN-4, Policies CN-4.1, CN-4.2, CN-4.6, Goal PSU-6, Policies PSU-6.1, PSU-6.2,PSU-6.3, PSU-6.4,	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
The implementation of the General Plan Update would not result in the alteration of the exiting drainage pattern in the Planning Area such that these areas could experience significant impacts associated with erosion or siltation.	Policies CN-4.1, CN-4.5, PS-4.2	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
The implementation of the General Plan Update would not result in any activity that could increase surface runoff at any potential project site that could result in flooding.	Goal CN-4, Policies CN-4.4, CN-4.5, PS-5.4, PS-5.5, PS-4, PS-4.1, PS-4.2	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant

Table 1-1 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures				
Impacts	**Goals and Policies**	**Level of Significance**	**Mitigation Measures**	**Level of Significance After Mitigation**
Development under the General Plan Update would not create runoff water that would exceed the capacity of existing or planned drainage systems.	Goal CN-4, Policies CN-4.2, CN-4.3, CN-4.4, CN-4.5, PSU-5.4, PSU-5.5, Goal GM-1, Policies GM-1.1, GM-1.2, GM-1.3	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
The General Plan Update would not otherwise degrade existing water quality. All development projects would be required to comply with federal, State, and local requirements.	Goal CN-4, Policies CN-4.1, CN-4.2, CN-4.3, CN-4.4, CN-4.5, CN-4.6, PSU-5.4, PSU-5.5, Goal PSU-6, Policies PSU-6.1, PSU-6.2, PSU-6.3, PSU-6.4, Goal GM-1, Policies GM-1.1, GM-1.2, GM-1.3, Goal PS-4, Policies PS-4.1, PS-4.2	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
The implementation of the General Plan Update would not place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area.	Goal CN-4, Policies CN-4.1, CN-4.2, CN-4.3, CN-4.4, CN-4.5, CN-4.6, PSU-5.4, PSU-5.5, Goal PSU-6, Policies PSU-6.1, PSU-6.2, PSU-6.3, PSU-6.4, Goal GM-1, Policies GM-1.1, GM-1.2, GM-1.3, Goal PS-4, Policies PS-4.1, PS-4.2	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
The implementation of the General Plan Update would not result in structures being placed in areas that could impede or redirect flood flows.	Goal CN-4, Policies CN-4.1, CN-4.2, CN-4.3, CN-4.4, CN-4.5, CN-4.6, PSU-5.4, PSU-5.5, Goal PSU-6, Policies PSU-6.1, PSU-6.2, PSU-6.3, PSU-6.4, Goal GM-1, Policies GM-1.1, GM-1.2, GM-1.3, Goal PS-4, Policies PS-4.1, PS-4.2	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
There is the potential for new development in areas that could	Goal CN-4, Policies CN-4.1, CN-4.2, CN-4.3, CN-4.4,	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant

Table 1-1 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures				
Impacts	**Goals and Policies**	**Level of Significance**	**Mitigation Measures**	**Level of Significance After Mitigation**
be inundated in the event of a breach of the Prado Dam.	CN-4.5, CN-4.6, PSU-5.4, PSU-5.5, Goal PSU-6, Policies PSU-6.1, PSU-6.2, PSU-6.3, PSU-6.4, Goal GM-1, Policies GM-1.1, GM-1.2, GM-1.3, Goal PS-4, Policies PS-4.1, PS-4.2			
The implementation of the General Plan Update would not lead to any areas being threatened by inundation from mudslide, tsunami, or seiche.	Goal CN-4, Policies CN-4.1, CN-4.2, CN-4.3, CN-4.4, CN-4.5, CN-4.6, PSU-5.4, PSU-5.5, Goal PSU-6, Policies PSU-6.1, PSU-6.2, PSU-6.3, PSU-6.4, Goal GM-1, Policies GM-1.1, GM-1.2, GM-1.3, Goal PS-4, Policies PS-4.1, PS-4.2	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
Land Use and Planning				
Implementation of the General Plan Update would not physically divide an established community.	Goal LU-1, Policies LU-1.1, LU-1.2, LU-1.3, LU-1.4, Goal LU-2, Policies LU-2.1, LU-2.2, Goal LU-3, Policies LU-3.1, LU-3.2, LU-3.3, LU-3.4, Goal-4, Policies LU-4.1, LU-4.2, LU-4.3, LU-4.4, Goal LU-5, Policies LU-5.1, LU-5.2, Goal LU-6, Policies LU-6.1, LU-6.2, Goal LU-7, Policies LU-7.1, LU-7.2, LU-7.3	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
Implementation of the General Plan Update would not conflict with applicable plans adopted for the purpose of avoiding or	Goal LU-1, Policies LU-1.1, LU-1.2, LU-1.3, LU-1.4, Goal LU-2, Policies LU-2.1, LU-2.2, Goal LU-3, Policies LU-3.1, LU-3.2, LU-3.3,	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant

Table 1-1 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures				
Impacts	**Goals and Policies**	**Level of Significance**	**Mitigation Measures**	**Level of Significance After Mitigation**
mitigating an environmental effect.	LU-3.4, Goal-4, Policies LU-4.1, LU-4.2, LU-4.3, LU-4.4, Goal LU-5, Policies LU-5.1, LU-5.2, Goal LU-6, Policies LU-6.1, LU-6.2, Goal LU-7, Policies LU-7.1, LU-7.2, LU-7.3			
Implementation of the General Plan Update would not conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan.	Goal LU-1, Policies LU-1.1, LU-1.2, LU-1.3, LU-1.4, Goal LU-2, Policies LU-2.1, LU-2.2, Goal LU-3, Policies LU-3.1, LU-3.2, LU-3.3, LU-3.4, Goal-4, Policies LU-4.1, LU-4.2, LU-4.3, LU-4.4, Goal LU-5, Policies LU-5.1, LU-5.2, Goal LU-6, Policies LU-6.1, LU-6.2, Goal LU-7, Policies LU-7.1, LU-7.2, LU-7.3	Potentially significant impact	**BIO-1**	Less than significant
Mineral Resources				
Although there are parts of the planning area that include petroleum resource extraction, as well as areas of aggregate deposits designated MRZ-2, the implementation of the General Plan Update would not significantly affect these resources areas.	Goal CN-5, Policies CN-5.1, CN-5.2, CN-5.3, CN-5.4, CN-5.5, CN-5.6, LU-3.3	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
The General Plan Update would not result in the loss of availability of mineral resource recovery sites. The portions of the Planning Area that have	Goal CN-5, Policies CN-5.1, CN-5.2, CN-5.3, CN-5.4, CN-5.5, CN-5.6, LU-3.3	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant

Table 1-1 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures				
Impacts	**Goals and Policies**	**Level of Significance**	**Mitigation Measures**	**Level of Significance After Mitigation**
significant identified deposits would not be affected by the General Plan Update; no changes would occur.				
Noise				
Construction activities associated with the General Plan Update could result in a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels above levels existing without a project and could result in exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards. Future development projects would be required to comply with project-specific mitigation and the City's Noise Ordinance.	Goal N-3, Policies N-3.1, Goal N-4, N-4.1.	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
The proposed General Plan Update could result in a substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels above levels existing without a project and could result in exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of the City's noise standards. Future development projects would be required to comply with project-specific mitigation and the City's Noise Ordinance. This	Goal N-1, Policies N-1.1, N-1.2, N-1.3, N-1.4, N-1.5, Goal N-2, Policies N-2.1, N-2.2, N-2.3, Goal N-4, Policies N-4.1, N-4.2, N-4.3, N-4.5, Goal CR-3, Policy CR-3.8, Goal CR-6, Policies CR-6.1, CR-6.4, Goal CR-7, Policies CR-7.1, CR-7.2	Potentially significant impact	**NOI-1:** Ensure that future development exposed to transportation noise sources complies with the City's noise standards for determination of land use compatibility.	Less than significant

Table 1-1 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures				
Impacts	**Goals and Policies**	**Level of Significance**	**Mitigation Measures**	**Level of Significance After Mitigation**
impact would be considered potentially significant.				
Future development associated could result in new noise-sensitive land uses encroaching upon existing or proposed stationary noise sources or new stationary noise sources encroaching upon existing or proposed noise-sensitive land uses. This could result in a substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels above existing levels or could result in exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of established standards. Future development projects would be required to comply with project-specific mitigation and the City's Noise Ordinance. As a result, this impact is considered potentially significant.	Goal N-3, Policy N-3.2, Goal N-4, Policies N-4.1, N-4.2, N-4.3, N-4.5, Goal LU-3, Policy LU-3.4, Goal CR-7, Policy CR-7.2	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
The General Plan Update could result in exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration levels. Impacts are expected to be less than significant.	Goal N-3, Policies N-3.1, LU-3, LU-3.4, CR-7, CR-7.2	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
The proposed General Plan Update would not expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive	Goal N-1, Policies N-1.1, N-1.2, N-1.3, N-1.4, N-1.5, Goal N-2, Policies N-2.1, N-2.2, N-2.3, Goal N-4,	No impact	No mitigation required	No impact

Table 1-1 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures				
Impacts	**Goals and Policies**	**Level of Significance**	**Mitigation Measures**	**Level of Significance After Mitigation**
noise levels for a project located within the vicinity of a public or private airport.	Policies N-4.1, N-4.2, N-4.3, N-4.5, Goal CR-3, Policy CR-3.8, Goal CR-6, Policies CR-6.1, CR-6.4, Goal CR-7, Policies CR-7.1, CR-7.2			
Population and Housing				
Yorba Linda's population would grow as a result of the implementation of the General Plan Update. However this would not occur at a large enough scale to rise to a level of significance, and infrastructure and public service for this growth are addressed in the Program EIR.	Goal HE-1, Policy HE-1.5, Goal HE-2, Policy HE-2.1 Goal HE-3, Policies HE-3.1, HE-3.2, HE-3.3, HE-3.4, HE-3.5	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
The implementation of the General Plan Update would not displace existing housing.	Goal HE-1, Policy HE-1.5, Goal HE-2, Policy HE-2.1 Goal HE-3, Policies HE-3.1, HE-3.2, HE-3.3, HE-3.4, HE-3.5	No impact	No mitigation required	No impact
No displacement of people would occur upon adoption of the proposed General Plan Update.	Goal HE-1, Policy HE-1.5, Goal HE-2, Policy HE-2.1 Goal HE-3, Policies HE-3.1, HE-3.2, HE-3.3, HE-3.4, HE-3.5	No impact	No mitigation required	No impact
Public Services				
The implementation of the General Plan Update could result in a need for expansion of public services, including schools, fire protection, police protection, and parks.	Goal PSU-1, Policies PSU-1.1, PSU-1.2, PSU-1.3, Goal PSU-2, Policies PSU-2.1, PSU-2.2, PSU-2.3, PSU-2.4, Goal PSU-3, Policies PSU-3.1, PSU-3.2,	Potentially significant impacts	**PS-1:** Fuel modification easements for maintaining fuel modification areas must list OCFA as an authorized user. These are recorded as part of the mapping process. Prior to recordation of the CC&Rs, OCFA must approve language allowing OCFA	Less than significant

Table 1-1 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures				
Impacts	**Goals and Policies**	**Level of Significance**	**Mitigation Measures**	**Level of Significance After Mitigation**
	PSU-3.3, Goal PSU-4, Policies PSU-4.1, PSU-4.2, PSU-4.3, Goal GM-1, Policies GM-1.1, GM-1.2, GM-1.3, Goal PS-7, Policies PS-7.1, PS-7.2, PS-7.3, PS-7.4		access to any HOA owned property for the purpose of inspecting the fuel modification, plant palette, and added improvements to ensure maintenance of the fire safe zones. In addition CC&R's shall provide landscaping and maintenance guidelines to ensure that each residential lot is fire-safe and list allowable improvements such as patio structure, play equipment construction, and fencing materials. The CC&R's shall be recorder prior to issuance of certificate of use and occupancy. **PS-2:** For the safety of construction personnel, neighboring homes, and firefighting safety in the wildland areas, the developer of any new construction, under the supervision of the Fire Chief, and prior to the issuance of building permits, shall have completed the project roadways in accordance with applicable OCFA and/or County design standards in the area prior to building permit issuance. **PS-3:** Prior to issuance of building permits, a service letter from the water agency serving the project area shall be submitted and approved by the OCFA water liaison describing the water supply system, pump system, and fire flow and lists the design features to ensure fire flow during a major wildfire incident.	

Table 1-1 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures				
Impacts	**Goals and Policies**	**Level of Significance**	**Mitigation Measures**	**Level of Significance After Mitigation**
Recreation				
Although there is the possibility of increased residential development upon implementation of the proposed project, the number of new residents would not be significant enough to strain the resources of existing parks and recreation facilities in the City.	Goal OR-1, Policy OR-1.4, Goal OR-2, Policies OR-2.1, OR-2.2, OR-2.3, OR-2.4, OR-2.5, OR-2.6, Goal OR-3, Policies OR-3.1, OR-3.2, OR-3.3, Goal OR-4, Policies OR-4.1, OR-4.2, OR-4.3, OR-4.4, Goal OR-5, Policies OR-5.1, OR-5.2, OR-5.3, OR-5.4, OR-5.5, OR-5.6, OR-5.7, OR-5.8, OR-5.9, OR-5.10	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
The proposed General Plan Update does not include any provisions for the construction or modification of recreational facilities. No environmental effects would occur as a result of its implementation.	Goal OR-1, Policy OR-1.4, Goal OR-2, Policies OR-2.1, OR-2.2, OR-2.3, OR-2.4, OR-2.5, OR-2.6, Goal OR-3, Policies OR-3.1, OR-3.2, OR-3.3, Goal OR-4, Policies OR-4.1, OR-4.2, OR-4.3, OR-4.4, Goal OR-5, Policies OR-5.1, OR-5.2, OR-5.3, OR-5.4, OR-5.5, OR-5.6, OR-5.7, OR-5.8, OR-5.9, OR-5.10	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
Transportation and Traffic				
Implementation of the General Plan Update could result in significant impacts to intersections in the Planning Area.	Policies CR-1.1, CR-1.2, CR-1.3, CR-1.4, CR-1.5, CR-1.6, CR-1.7, CR-1.8, CR-1.9, CR-2.1, CR-2.2, CR-2.3, CR-2.4, CR-3.1, CR-3.2, CR-3.3, CR-3.4, CR-3.5, CR-3.6, CR-3.7, CR-3.8, CR-4.1, CR-4.2,	Potentially significant impact	**TRA-1**: Rose Drive at Imperial Highway: Add third northbound through lane on Rose Drive. **TRA-2**: Imperial Highway at Yorba Linda Boulevard: Add a dedicated eastbound right-turn lane with overlap.	Significant unavoidable impact

Table 1-1 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures				
Impacts	**Goals and Policies**	**Level of Significance**	**Mitigation Measures**	**Level of Significance After Mitigation**
	CR-4.3, CR-5.1, CR-5.2, CR-5.3, CR-6.1, CR-6.2, CR-6.3, CR-6.4, CR-7.1, CR-7.2, CR-7.3, CR-7.4, CR-8.1, CR-8.2, CR-9.1, CR-9.2, CR-9.3, CR-9.4, CR-10.1, CR-10.2, CR-10.3, CR-10.4, CR-10.5, CR-10.6, CR-10.7, CR-10.8, CR-10.9, CR-10.10, CR-10.11, CR-11.1, CR-11.2, GM-2.1, GM-2.2, GM-2.3, GM-2.4, GM-2.5		**TRA-3**: Yorba Linda Boulevard at La Palma Avenue: Add a dedicated southbound right-turn lane on Yorba Linda Boulevard. **TRA-4**: The roadway segments of Imperial Highway from Yorba Linda Boulevard to Kellogg Drive and Yorba Linda Boulevard from Fairmont Boulevard to New River Road would be widened to six lanes in order to achieve acceptable daily LOS. **TRA-5**: The City shall monitor traffic growth, and act when levels of congestion are reached such that widening becomes essential to maintain acceptable daily LOS. **TRA-6**: A fair-share contribution to the cost of widening shall be made a condition of approval for future developments which contributes to the need for widening. **TRA-7**: The City shall implement traffic control system improvements to help expedite access to and from the freeway on Imperial Highway from Rose Drive to Yorba Linda Boulevard.	
Implementation of the General Plan Update would not exceed standards established by the Orange County Congestion Management Plan	Policies CR-3.3, GM-2.5	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
Implementation of the General Plan would not result in a change in air traffic patterns resulting in substantial safety risks	N/A	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant

Table 1-1 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures				
Impacts	**Goals and Policies**	**Level of Significance**	**Mitigation Measures**	**Level of Significance After Mitigation**
Implementation of the General Plan would not result in increased hazards due to a design feature of incompatible uses	Policies CR-8.1, CR-8.2	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
Implementation of the General Plan Update would not result in inadequate emergency access	Policies CR-8.1, CR-8.2	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
Implementation of the General Plan would not conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities or decrease their performance or safety	Policies CR-3.4, CR-3.6, CR-3.7, CR-5.3, CR-6.1, CR-6.2, CR-6.3, CR-6.4, CR-10.1, CR-10.2, CR-10.4, CR-10.5, CR-10.6, CR-10.9, CR-10.10, CR-10.11	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
Utilities and Service Systems				
The General Plan Update would not generate wastewater that would exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control Board	Goal PSU-5, Policies PSU-5.1, PSU-5.2, PSU-5.3	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
The General Plan Update would not require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects.	Goal PSU-5, Policies PSU-5.1, PSU-5.2, PSU-5.3	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
The General Plan Update would require or result in the construction of new storm	Goal PSU-5, Policies PSU-5.1, PSU-5.2, PSU-5.3, PSU-5.4, PSU-5.5	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant

Table 1-1 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures				
Impacts	**Goals and Policies**	**Level of Significance**	**Mitigation Measures**	**Level of Significance After Mitigation**
water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which would not cause significant environmental effects.				
The proposed General Plan Update would have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources.	Goal PSU-5, Policies PSU-5.1, PSU-5.2, Goal PSU-6, PSU-6.1, PSU-6.2, PSU-6.3, PSU-6.4	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
The General Plan Update would not result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider that serves or may serve the project that it has inadequate capacity to serve the projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments.	Goal PSU-5, Policies PSU-5.1, PSU-5.2, PSU-5.3	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
The General Plan Update would be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs.	Goal PSU-5, Policies PSU-5.1, PSU-5.3	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant
Existing and/or proposed facilities would be able to accommodate electricity and natural gas demands.	Goal PSU-5, Policies PSU-5.1, PSU-5.3	Less than significant	No mitigation required	Less than significant